

A Crash Course in Software Verification and Unit Tests

Daniel Perrefort
University of Pittsburgh
February 4, 2020

After this talk you should...

1. Understand the differences between validation/verification and how that relates to unit tests
2. Be able to argue why testing your code is important
3. Know how to write a generic test case
4. Know what CI is and how it fits in your work-flow

#



So you can find things later

Validation Vs. Verification

1

Validation is intended to ensure a product, service, or system results in a deliverable that meets the operational needs of the user.

Verification is intended to check that a product, service, or system meets a set of design specifications.

Validation Vs. Verification

1

Validation is intended to ensure a product, service, or system results in a deliverable that meets the operational needs of the user.

- In English: Are we building the right system?

Verification is intended to check that a product, service, or system meets a set of design specifications.

- In English: Are we building the system right?

Validation Vs. Verification

1

Validation is intended to ensure a product, service, or system results in a deliverable that meets the operational needs of the user.

- In English: Are we building the right system?

Verification is intended to check that a product, service, or system meets a set of design specifications.

- In English: Are we building the system right?

You add a blue “submit” button to an online form:

- Is it blue? Is “submit” spelled right?
- Does the underlying function raise an error if the input (i.e. the form) is empty?

Validation Vs. Verification

1

Validation is intended to ensure a product, service, or system results in a deliverable that meets the operational needs of the user.

- In English: Are we building the right system?

Verification is intended to check that a product, service, or system meets a set of design specifications.

- In English: Are we building the system right?

You add a blue “submit” button to an online form:

- Is it blue? Is “submit” spelled right? <- (Validation)
- Does the underlying function raise an error if the input (i.e. the form) is empty? <- (Verification)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Verification_and_validation

Why do I care about this?

1

- As scientists, we care about validation...
 - To make sure we simulate the right things
 - To avoid costly mistakes (computation time / funding)
 - Usually care the most for large scale projects
- We also care about verification...
 - To make sure our results are correct
 - To ensure our results are reproducible
 - For every single project!!!

Why do I care about verification?

2

If you wouldn't trust a published mathematical result from someone who never double checked their work ...

... then you shouldn't trust results from an untested software pipeline either

... and yes, that includes **YOUR** code too!!!

Pros / Cons of Writing Tests

2

The Pros ...

- You want to be sure your code **really** works
- Helps ensure the validity of your results (They eliminate human error)
- Saves time and effort in the long term (Find bugs early)
- Simplifies deployment and reproducibility
- You can refactor your code with confidence
- Forces you to write develop better code quality
- Forces you to be knowledgeable about the behavior and performance of your code
- They make it easier to add features

Pros / Cons of Writing Tests

2

The Cons ...

- They require more time up front
- You end up with more code to maintain
- They don't cover UI
- It takes a bit of practice to get good at

How do I test my code?

3

“Functional Testing”: A type of software testing that validates the software system against the functional requirements/specifications.

How do I test my code?

3

“Functional Testing”: A type of software testing that validates the software system against the functional requirements/specifications.

Unit Testing: A method by which individual units of the source code are tested to determine if they are functionally correct.

How do I test my code?

3

“Functional Testing”: A type of software testing that validates the software system against the functional requirements/specifications.

(Key Concept!!!)

Unit Testing: A method by which individual units of the source code are tested to determine if they are functionally correct.


```
from unittest import TestCase
```

```
def add(x, y):  
    return x + y
```

```
class TestAdd(TestCase):  
    def test_five_plus_four(self):  
        self.assertEqual(add(5, 4), 9)
```

There are other options to `unittest` (Thanks Brett!):

https://www.slant.co/versus/9148/9149/~unittest_vs_pytest


Step 1: Organizing Your Code

3

- Disorganized code is not testable code. Organized code usually testable
Use functions/modules (or methods/classes in OOD) to separate code into logical units.
- “You can’t test a for loop” - MWV
- Design before you write
 - Ask what functionality you need
 - Decide how to write it
 - Write a well documented function

Step 1: Organizing Your Code

3

- Disorganized code is not testable code. Organized code usually testable
Use functions/modules (or methods/classes in OOD) to separate code into logical units.
 - “You can’t test a for loop” - MWV
 - Design before you write
 - Ask what functionality you need
 - Decide how to write it
 - Write a well documented function
- 
- Better quality code
 - Fewer code revisions
 - Faster development
 - Less headaches

Things We Should Talk About... but Won't

3

SOLID: See <https://medium.com/feedzaitech/writing-testable-code-b3201d4538eb> for a great overview!

- **Single Responsibility Principle (SRP)**
 - Each software module should only have one reason to change.
- **Open/Closed Principle (OCP)**
 - Your classes should be open for extension but closed to modifications.
- **Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)**
 - Objects of a superclass shall be replaceable with objects of its subclasses without breaking the application.
- **Interface Segregation Principle (ISP)**
 - No client should be forced to depend on methods it does not use.
- **Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP)**
 - High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules; both should depend on abstractions.

Step 2: Organizing Your Tests

3

One possible solution is to:

- Put tests in a “tests” directory located in the same place as your source code
- Give each module its own script
- Give each function its own class

```
# tests/my_module.py
```

```
class TestAdd(TestCase):  
    def test_five_plus_four(self):  
        # Magic
```

```
class TestSubtract(TestCase):  
    # More magic
```

```
class TestNobelPrizePhysicsSimulation(testCase):  
    def test_with_air_resistance(self):  
        # Even more magic
```

```
    def test_in_a_vacuum(self):  
        # A crazy, incredible amount of magic
```



```
from unittest import TestCase

class TestStringMethods(TestCase):
    def test_upper(self):
        self.assertEqual('foo'.upper(), 'FOO')

    def test_isupper(self):
        self.assertTrue('FOO'.isupper())
        self.assertFalse('Foo'.isupper())

    def test_split(self):
        s = 'hello world'
        self.assertEqual(s.split(), ['hello', 'world'])
        # check that s.split fails when the separator is not a string
        self.assertRaises(TypeError, s.split, 2)
```


By inheriting from the `TestCase` class, you get access to a comprehensive collection of prebuilt testing criteria!
This is all listed in the official docs!

3

Method Name	Checks that
<code>assertEqual(a, b)</code>	<code>a == b</code>
<code>assertNotEqual(a, b)</code>	<code>a != b</code>
<code>assertTrue(x)</code>	<code>bool(x)</code> is True
<code>assertFalse(x)</code>	<code>bool(x)</code> is False
<code>assertIs(a, b)</code>	<code>a</code> is <code>b</code>
<code>assertIsNot(a, b)</code>	<code>a</code> is not <code>b</code>
<code>assertIsNone(x)</code>	<code>x</code> is None
<code>assertIsNotNone(x)</code>	<code>x</code> is not None
<code>assertIn(a, b)</code>	<code>a</code> in <code>b</code>
<code>assertNotIn(a, b)</code>	<code>a</code> not in <code>b</code>
<code>assertIsInstance(a, b)</code>	<code>isinstance(a, b)</code>
<code>assertNotIsInstance(a, b)</code>	<code>not isinstance(a, b)</code>

Method Name	Checks that
<code>assertAlmostEqual(a, b)</code>	<code>round(a-b, 7) == 0</code>
<code>assertNotAlmostEqual(a, b)</code>	<code>round(a-b, 7) != 0</code>
<code>assertGreater(a, b)</code>	<code>a > b</code>
<code>assertGreaterEqual(a, b)</code>	<code>a >= b</code>
<code>assertLess(a, b)</code>	<code>a < b</code>
<code>assertLessEqual(a, b)</code>	<code>a <= b</code>
<code>assertRegex(s, r)</code>	<code>r.search(s)</code>
<code>assertNotRegex(s, r)</code>	<code>not r.search(s)</code>
<code>assertCountEqual(a, b)</code>	<i>a</i> and <i>b</i> have the same elements in the same number, regardless of their order.

“Production” style tests can be used to test the creation of exceptions, warnings, and log messages

3

Type specific tests can be used to handle certain special cases.

Method Name	Checks that
<code>assertRaises(exc, fun, *args, **kwargs)</code>	<code>fun(*args, **kwargs)</code> raises <i>exc</i>
<code>assertRaisesRegex(exc, r, fun, *args, **kwargs)</code>	<code>fun(*args, **kwargs)</code> raises <i>exc</i> and the message matches regex <i>r</i>
<code>assertWarns(warn, fun, *args, **kwargs)</code>	<code>fun(*args, **kwargs)</code> raises <i>warn</i>
<code>assertWarnsRegex(warn, r, fun, *args, **kwargs)</code>	<code>fun(*args, **kwargs)</code> raises <i>warn</i> and the message matches regex <i>r</i>
<code>assertLogs(logger, level)</code>	The with block logs on <i>logger</i> with minimum <i>level</i>

Method Name	Checks that
<code>assertMultiLineEqual(a, b)</code>	strings
<code>assertSequenceEqual(a, b)</code>	sequences
<code>assertListEqual(a, b)</code>	lists
<code>assertTupleEqual(a, b)</code>	tuples
<code>assertSetEqual(a, b)</code>	sets or frozensets
<code>assertDictEqual(a, b)</code>	dicts

Some general utilities

Method Name	Checks that
<code>pass()</code>	<code>def setup(self)</code>
<code>fail()</code>	<code>def setUpClass(self)</code>
	<code>@skipif</code>


```
def zp_bias(ref_temp: float, cal_temp : float, band: tuple, pwv : float):  
    """Calculate the residual error in the photometric zero point due to PWV
```

Args:

ref_temp: The temperature of the star used to calibrate the image in Kelvin

cal_temp: The temperature of another star in the same image

band: An array specifying a photometric bandpass

pwv:: The PWV concentration along line of sight in mm

Returns:

The error in magnitudes for the photometric zero point of the given band
"""

Values for reference star

```
ref_mag = magnitude(ref_temp, band, 0)
```

```
ref_mag_atm = magnitude(ref_temp, band, pwv)
```

```
ref_zero_point = ref_mag - ref_mag_atm
```

Values for star being calibrated

```
cal_mag = magnitude(cal_temp, band, 0)
```

```
cal_mag_atm = magnitude(cal_temp, band, pwv)
```

```
cal_zero_point = cal_mag - cal_mag_atm
```

```
return cal_zero_point - ref_zero_point
```



```
def zp_bias(ref_temp: float, cal_temp : float, band: tuple, pwv : float):  
    """Calculate the residual error in the photometric zero point due to PWV
```

Args:

ref_temp: The temperature of the star used to calibrate the image in Kelvin

cal_temp: The temperature of another star in the same image

band: An array specifying a photometric bandpass

pwv:: The PWV concentration along line of sight in mm

Returns:

The error in magnitudes for the photometric zero point of the given band
"""

```
# Values for reference star  
ref_mag = magnitude(ref_temp, band, 0)  
ref_mag_atm = magnitude(ref_temp, band, pwv)  
ref_zero_point = ref_mag - ref_mag_atm  
  
# Values for star being calibrated  
cal_mag = magnitude(cal_temp, band, 0)  
cal_mag_atm = magnitude(cal_temp, band, pwv)  
cal_zero_point = cal_mag - cal_mag_atm  
  
return cal_zero_point - ref_zero_point
```



```
class ZeroPointBias(TestCase):  
    """Tests for the function blackbody.zp_bias"""  
  
    def test_same_temperature(self):  
        """Tests that bias is zero for stars of same temperature"""  
  
        msg = "Returned bias was non-zero"  
        bias_3000 = zp_bias(3000, 3000, (7000, 8500), 13)  
        self.assertEqual(0, bias_3000, msg)  
  
        bias_6000 = zp_bias(6000, 6000, (8500, 10000), 13)  
        self.assertEqual(0, bias_6000, msg)  
  
    def test_returned_sign(self):  
        """Tests that bias has expected sign"""  
  
        msg = "Returned bias has incorrect sign"  
        bias_3_6 = zp_bias(3000, 6000, (7000, 8500), 13)  
        self.assertLess(0, bias_3_6, msg)  
  
        bias_6_3 = zp_bias(6000, 3000, (7000, 8500), 13)  
        self.assertGreater(0, bias_6_3, msg)
```


Good Testing Practice

3

Try to ...

- Emphasize the usage of test **UNITS**
- **Avoid test interdependence**
- **Keep tests short**
- **Hard setup = bad unit**

Try not to ...

- Rely on network access
- Perform I/O tasks
- Rely on the file system / Hit a database
- Repeat yourself

Incorporating Tests in Your Workflow

4

Option 1: Run tests directly from a dedicated test script (Not Ideal)

Option 1: Have a dedicated test script

4

```
import unittest
```

```
<... some unit tests here... >
```

```
if __name__ == '__main__':  
    unittest.main()
```

This is cumbersome ...

You will never, ever bring yourself to actually run this script ...

Incorporating Tests in Your Workflow

4

Option 1: Run tests directly from a dedicated test script (Not Ideal)

Option 2: Run your test suite from the command line (A better option)

Incorporating Tests in Your Workflow

4

```
(sndata) (09:41 PM) master sndata: pytest tests/
```

```
===== test session starts =====
```

```
platform darwin -- Python 3.7.3, pytest-5.2.2, py-1.8.0, pluggy-0.13.0
```

```
rootdir: /Users/daniel/Github/sndata, inifile: setup.cfg
```

```
plugins: asdf-2.4.2
```

```
collected 106 items
```

```
tests/test_combined_datasets.py ..... [ 6%]
tests/test_csp_dr1.py ..... [ 16%]
tests/test_csp_dr3.py ..... [ 31%]
tests/test_des_sn3yr.py ..... [ 44%]
tests/test_essence_narayan16.py ..... [ 57%]
tests/test_exceptions.py . [ 58%]
tests/test_jla_betoule14.py ..... [ 71%]
tests/test_sdss_sako18.py ..... [ 84%]
tests/test_sdss_sako18spec.py ..... [ 94%]
tests/test_utils.py ...FF. [100%]
```

```
===== FAILURES =====
```

```
_____ CreateDataDir.test_dir_are_lowercase _____
```

```
self = <tests.test_utils.CreateDataDir testMethod=test_dir_are_lowercase>
```

```
def test_dir_are_lowercase(self):
```


Incorporating Tests in Your Workflow

4

Option 1: Run tests directly from a dedicated test script (Not Ideal)

Option 2: Run your test suite using PyTest (A better option)

Option 3: Work within an IDE (A great option!)

Incorporating Tests in Your Workflow

4

The screenshot shows the PyCharm IDE interface. The top pane displays Python code from `base_tests.py`. The code includes a `with open` block for loading a YAML file, an `except AttributeError` handler for older versions, and a `DataParsingTestBase` class with a `_test_bad_object_id_err` method. The bottom pane shows the test runner output, indicating that 2 tests failed and 104 passed out of 106 tests. The test results are organized in a tree view, showing failures in `test_utils` and `test_dir_are_lowercase`.

```
docs_path = Path(__file__).resolve().parent / 'docs.yml'
with open(docs_path) as ofile:
    try:
        expected_docs = yaml.load(ofile, Loader=yaml.FullLoader)
    except AttributeError: # Support older yaml versions
        expected_docs = yaml.load(ofile)

class DataParsingTestBase(TestCase):
    """Generic tests for a given survey"""
    module = None
    def _test_bad_object_id_err(self):
```

Run: pytest in tests x

Tests failed: 2, passed: 104 of 106 tests - 33 s 18 ms

- test_sdss_sako18spec (4 s 399 ms)
 - DataParsing (3 s 664 ms)
 - test_bad_object_id_err (48 ms)
 - test_cache_not_mutated (108 ms)
 - test_ids_are_sorted (48 ms)
 - test_no_empty_data_tables (3 s 231 ms)
 - test_paper_tables_are_parsed (180 ms)
 - test_unique_ids (49 ms)
 - Documentation (735 ms)
 - test_ads_url (293 ms)
 - test_consistent_docs (0 ms)
 - test_has_meta_attributes (0 ms)
 - test_survey_url (442 ms)
 - test_utils (0 ms)
 - ConvertToJD (0 ms)
 - CreateDataDir (0 ms)
 - test_dir_are_lowercase (0 ms)
 - test_directories_are_created (0 ms)
 - test_spaces_stripped_from_names (0 ms)

Testing started at 19:36 ...
/anaconda3/envs/sndata/bin/python /Applications/PyCharm.app/Contents/helpers/pycharm/_j
Launching pytest with arguments /Users/daniel/Github/sndata/tests in /Users/daniel/Gith

===== test session starts =====
platform darwin -- Python 3.7.3, pytest-5.2.2, py-1.8.0, pluggy-0.13.0
rootdir: /Users/daniel/Github/sndata, inifile: setup.cfg
plugins: asdf-2.4.2collected 106 items

test_combined_datasets.py [6%]
test_csp_dr1.py Downloading data for des:sn3yr
Downloading filters...
Fetching http://desdr-server.ncsa.illinois.edu/despublic/sn_files/y3/tar_files/01-FILTE
Downloading photometry...
Fetching http://desdr-server.ncsa.illinois.edu/despublic/sn_files/y3/tar_files/02-DATA
Downloading Light-Curve Fits...
Fetching http://desdr-server.ncsa.illinois.edu/despublic/sn_files/y3/tar_files/04-BBCFI
Downloading data for csp:dr3
Downloading data tables...
Fetching <http://cdsarc.u-strasbo.fr/viz-bin/nph-Cat/tar.gz?1/A1/154/211>

- Runs at a key stroke
- Highlights test coverage from within the editor
- Makes it easy to run frequently as you commit

Incorporating Tests in Your Workflow

4

Option 1: Run tests directly from a dedicated test script (Not Ideal)

Option 2: Run your test suite using a CLI like PyTest (A better option)

Option 3: Work within an IDE (A great option!)

Option 4: Run tests with a continuous integration tool like Travis (Now we're talking!!!)

Incorporating Tests in Your Workflow

4

The screenshot shows the Travis CI dashboard for the repository `mwvgroup / SNData`. The current build is on the `master` branch, titled `Test utils (#30)`, and has a status of `build passing`. The build details show it ran for 2 min 39 sec and the total time was 7 min 13 sec. The commit is `8648690` on the `master` branch, pushed by Daniel Perrefort 2 days ago. Below the main build details, there is a table of build jobs:

Build ID	OS	Language	Environment	Duration	Actions
✓ # 331.1	Linux	Python: 3.6	no environment variables set	2 min 21 sec	Refresh
✓ # 331.2	Linux	Python: 3.7	no environment variables set	2 min 13 sec	Refresh
✓ # 331.3	Linux	Python: 3.8	no environment variables set	2 min 39 sec	Refresh

- Runs automatically on every branch, every time!
- Keeps you focused on developing without stopping to run tests
- Easily customized to your needs via a config file
- Email alerts once something goes wrong
- Can run multiple OS / Python combos

In Summary...

1. Building the right code / building code right (validation/verification)
2. Tests provide multiple benefits, but do require some time commitment
 - Ensures the desired behavior
 - Trades off upfront development time for savings down the road
 - Faster bug identification and correction
3. `unittest` is built into Python and provides extensive, prebuilt functionality
4. Look for ways to incorporate tests into your DE - Travis can help